

Radically Elementary IP Theory Based on Extensive Measurement

Teddy Seidenfeld, Mark J. Schervish, Joseph B. Kadane, Rafael Stern, and Jessi Cisewski
Carnegie Mellon University
{teddy,mark,kadane,rafaelst}@stat.cmu.edu, jcisewsk@andrew.cmu.edu

Extensive Structures A *Closed Archimedean Extensive Structure* [CAES] provides a (positive) real-valued, scalar representation of a binary relation that is additive in a concatenation operation. We summarize that theory as follows.

Let $\mathcal{D} = \{d_1, d_2, \dots\}$ be a domain of objects. Let \oplus be a function from $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, understood as a concatenation operation on pair of objects. Finally, let \succeq be a binary relation on $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$. Five axioms for a CAES are these:

Axiom₁ \succeq is a transitive, complete weak order, with symmetric \approx and asymmetric \succ parts.

Axiom₂ (Cancellation) $d_1 \succeq d_2$ iff $d_1 \oplus d_3 \succeq d_2 \oplus d_3$.

Axiom₃ (Associativity and Commutativity)

$$d_1 \oplus (d_2 \oplus d_3) \approx (d_2 \oplus d_1) \oplus d_3.$$

Axiom₄ (Positivity) $d_1 \oplus d_2 \succeq d_1$.

Let $nd = d \oplus d \oplus \dots \oplus d$ with $n - 1$ concatenations.

Axiom₅ (Archimedes) If $d_2 \succ d_1$, and given d_3 and d_4 , there exists n such that $[nd_2] \oplus d_3 \succeq [nd_1] \oplus d_4$.

Theorem₁ [1] Given a CAES, there exists a positive, real-valued function $g: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^+$ where

- $g(d_1) \succeq g(d_2)$ iff $d_1 \succeq d_2$,
- $g(d_1 \oplus d_2) = g(d_1) + g(d_2)$.

and g is unique up to scalars, $g' = \alpha g$ ($\alpha > 0$).

We call a system that satisfies all but **Axiom₅** a *Radically Elementary Closed Extensive Structure* [RECES].

Theorem₂ [2] Given a RECES, there exists a positive, non-standard $*\mathfrak{R}^+$ valued function $*g: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow *\mathfrak{R}^+$ where

- $*g(d_1) \geq *g(d_2)$ iff $d_1 \succeq d_2$,
- $*g(d_1 \oplus d_2) = *g(d_1) + *g(d_2)$.

Regular Probability on a Finite Set as a CAES Let $\Omega = \{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be a finite partition and let \mathcal{I} be a domain of *favorable investments* $\mathcal{I} = \{I_1, I_2, I_3, \dots\}$ where each investment scheme pays a determinate, non-negative dollar return $\mathcal{I}_i(\omega_j) = x_{ij} \geq 0$, as a function of ω . Define concatenation as $I_1 \oplus I_2 = I_3$ where $x_{3j} = x_{1j} + x_{2j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Let \succeq be a binary preference relation between such favorable investment opportunities.

Application₁ With a simple modification of **Axiom₄** to include the constant $I_0 = 0$, by *Theorem₁*, if this system is a CAES over \mathcal{I} , there exists a unique regular probability P on Ω , $P(\omega_j) > 0$, where preference is represented by expected value: $g(I_i) = \sum_j P(\omega_j)x_{ij}$. Let $\emptyset \neq E \subseteq \Omega$. Then, in the usual fashion, \succeq_E , called-off preference given E , suffices to define the conditional probability, $P(\cdot|E)$.

Non-Standard Probability on Ω as a RECES

Application₂ Drop the Archimedean **Axiom₅** from *Application₁* and, by *Theorem₂*, preference is a RECES that is represented through $*g$ by a non-standard probability $*P$ with non-standard expected value, and non-standard, conditional expected value.

Application₃ Modify **Axiom₁** in *Application₁* so that strict preference is a strict partial order, \succ , as in [3, §4 in particular]. A corollary to *Theorem₁* is IP theory, where a convex set of probabilities represents \succ and \succ_E .

Application₄ Continue *Application₃* by dropping **Axiom₅**. A corollary to *Theorem₂* is non-standard $*IP$ theory, where a convex set of non-standard probabilities and non-standard conditional probabilities represent strict preference and strict called-off preference.

Application₅ Continue *Application₄*. Replace modified **Axiom₁** with **Axioms 1a** and **1b** from [4, p. 164] in the theory of coherent choice functions.

Conjecture This modified RECES structure characterizes all $*IP$ sets of non-standard probabilities on the finite set Ω .

References

- [1] Krantz, Luce, Suppes, and Tversky. *Foundations of Measurement*. Academic Press, 1971.
- [2] Narens. *Measurement without Archimedean Axioms*. *Phil. Sci.* 41: 374-393, 1974.
- [3] Seidenfeld, Schervish, and Kadane. *Decisions without Ordering*. In Sieg (ed.) *Acting and Reflecting*. Kluwer Academic: 143-170, 1990.
- [4] Seidenfeld, Schervish, and Kadane. *Coherent Choice Functions under Uncertainty*. *Synthese* 172: 157-176, 2010.